

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/616,731	07/10/2003	Tatsuhiko Shibuya	372106-102	2766	
38552 75	590 04/08/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
DECHERT LLP (WASHINGTON, DC OFFICE) 1775 I STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			MOORE, MA	MOORE, MARGARET G	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1712		
		DATE MAILED: 04/08/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/616,731	SHIBUYA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Margaret G. Moore	1712		

	Margaret G. Moore	1712				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 21 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: 	a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abar an amendment, affidavit, or other eal (with appeal fee) in complianc	ndonment of this app evidence, which place e with 37 CFR 41.31;	es the or (3) a			
 a)		a final scientian which we	oria latar da ma			
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that	n SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	•					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on wheen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension at CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened standbove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. tutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appe was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CAPPeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the t	1.37 must be filed within two mont FR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	hs of the date of filing of the appeal. Since a	the Notice of			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belown) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in betappeal; and/or 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21 See attached Nation of Nam Co		(DTOL 204)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		ompiiant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling			
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the s		ill be entered and an	explanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 17 to 20, 30 to 33.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	It before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after ϵ	entry is below or attac	hed.			
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu applicants have not sufficiently demonstrated a difference sufficient and the section of the specification noted by a For instance both the prior art and the claimed silica film decompose. Note too that, given the breadth of the term prior art upper limit of 650 and the claimed lower limit of 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 	ce in the prior art silica film and the pplicants in their response does not are heated at temperatures such a "about", the Examiner does not so about 1680.	at claimed. Mere alle ot "clearly establish" a that the organic grou see a clear difference	gations are not ny difference. ps do not			
13. Other:						

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Ma garet G. Moore Prinary Examiner Art Unit: 1712

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20050405